# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MARY VAN BRUNT-PIEHLER,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 16-cy-6313

ABSOLUTE SOFTWARE, INC., ABSOLUTE SOFTWARE CORPORATION, GEOFF HAYDON, THOMAS KENNY, and TODD AWTRY,

Defendants.

#### PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED VOIR DIRE INFORMATION1

**THOMAS & SOLOMON LLP** 

Attorneys for Plaintiff 693 East Avenue Rochester, New York 14607 Telephone: (585) 272-0540

Of Counsel: J. Nelson Thomas

Jonathan W. Ferris

DI : .:CC : C:1:

Plaintiff is filing this amended *voir dire* in anticipation of the parties filing a stipulation to dismiss plaintiff's age discrimination claims as discussed with the Court on March 3, 2023. To the extent that the Court grants the parties' stipulation, this submission is intended to replace Plaintiff's previous *voir dire* submission.

#### CASE DESCRIPTION

The plaintiff in this action, Mary Van Brunt-Piehler, is suing her former employer, Absolute Software, Inc. and its parent company Absolute Software Corporation, and three former employees: Geoff Haydon, Thomas Kenny and Todd Awtry. Plaintiff alleges that defendants violated the law by terminating her employment on July 1, 2015 because of her sex and by retaliating against her for having complained of discrimination in July 2014. Plaintiff also asserts a claim under the Equal Pay Act, alleging that she was paid less than a male employee based on her sex. Defendants dispute each of Plaintiff's claims and maintain that her employment was terminated for business reasons having nothing to do with her sex.<sup>2</sup>

The parties have agreed to use this concise statement of the case for the Court to use with the prospective jury.

## **COUNSEL**

# 1. Attorneys for Plaintiff

J. Nelson Thomas Jonathan W. Ferris Jessica L. Lukasiewicz Erin K. Hanlon Thomas & Solomon LLP 693 East Avenue Rochester, New York 14607

# 2. Paralegals

Sarah Hulbert Thomas & Solomon LLP 693 East Avenue Rochester, New York 14607

# NAMED PLAINTIFF

Mary Piehler Name:

7 LaTour Manor Fairport Fairport, NY 14450 Address:

#### WITNESS LIST

Witness	Occupational	Address (to the extent	General Subject
	Association	presently known by	Matter of
		plaintiffs' counsel)	Testimony
Todd Awtry	Defendant		Mr. Awtry is
			expected to testify
			as to the claims
			and defenses in
			this case.
Daniel	Former Human		Mr. Berardo is
Berardo	Resources Director		expected to testify
	of Absolute		as to the claims
			and defenses in
			this case. Plaintiff
			believes that he
			will be a
			deposition
			witness.
Geoff	Defendant		Mr. Awtry is
Haydon			expected to testify
			as to the claims
			and defenses in
			this case.
Thomas	Defendant		Mr. Kenny is
Kenny			expected to testify
			as to the claims
			and defenses in
			this case.
Errol Olsen	Former employee		Mr. Olsen is
	of Absolute		expected to testify
			as to the claims
			and defenses in
			this case. Plaintiff
			believes that he
			will be a
			deposition
			witness.
Mary	Plaintiff	7 LaTour Manor	Ms. Piehler is
Piehler <sup>3</sup>		Fairport, NY 14450	expected to testify
			as to her claims in

Plaintiff respectfully requests to be referred to as "Ms. Piehler" during trial. Given the difficulties of attempting to find employment in the tech industry with an active discrimination lawsuit that could be discovered by potential employers, Ms. Piehler used her maiden name in originally filing this lawsuit.

		this case.
Amy	Former employee	Ms. Rathbun is
Rathbun	of Absolute	expected to testify
		as to plaintiff's
		claims in this case
		and the culture at
		defendants.
		Plaintiff believes
		that she will be a
		deposition witness
		unless she appears
		a trial, at which
		point plaintiff
		1 ~ ~
		may call her for live testimony.
Α	T 1	,
Art	Former employee	Mr. Robinson is
Robinson	of Absolute	expected to testify
		as to plaintiff's
		claims in this case
		and the culture of
		Absolute
		Software. Plaintiff
		believes that he
		will be a
		deposition witness
		unless he appears
		a trial, at which
		point plaintiff
		may call him for
		live testimony.
Lyle	Former employee	Assuming that the
Singular	of Absolute	stipulation that
0		the parties enter
		into regarding the
		dismissal is
		entered by the
		Court, Plaintiff
		would not call. In
		the unlikely event
		the stipulation is
		not approved, Mr.
		Singular's
		deposition
		testimony will be
		regarding
		plaintiff's claims
		in this case and
		the culture of
		Absolute
		Software.

Robert	Current employee	To the extent that
Booker	of Absolute	Mr. Booker is
		permitted to
		testify as a
		business records
		custodian and lay
		a foundation, he
		is expected to
		testify regarding
		Absolute's
		employees and to
		lay the foundation
		for other business
		records.

Plaintiff may call the above witnesses as part of her case-in-chief, for impeachment purposes, or for rebuttal. Depending on the Court's ruling on plaintiff's pretrial motions, plaintiff reserves her right to modify or supplement this witness list. Plaintiff may also call additional rebuttal witnesses depending on the testimony of defendants' witnesses. Although not anticipated, plaintiff also reserves the right to call a witness for defendants to the extent that there are issues with defendants' custodians of business records.

Given the preference for live testimony, plaintiff intends to question as many witnesses in court as possible. To the extent that witnesses are unavailable, plaintiff intends to introduce testimony into the record including, but not limited to: reading deposition transcripts into the record, having different individuals read and respond to deposition testimony to simulate trial testimony, and playing video recordings of taped depositions. To the extent that the Court is willing to also allow for certain witness to appear by videoconference, plaintiff is happy to discuss such arrangements at the pretrial conference.

### **REQUEST FOR VOIR DIRE**

Pursuant to the Courts' Pretrial Order, plaintiff expressly requests the opportunity for *voir dire* and submits the below list of proposed questions.

#### PLAINTIFF'S VOIR DIRE QUESTIONS TO THE JURY

#### A. Information Regarding Plaintiffs, Defendants, and Witnesses

- 1. Do you know Ms. Piehler, the plaintiff in this case?
- 2. Do you know the judge in this case?
- 3. Are you familiar with defendant, Absolute Software, Inc. or Absolute Software Corp.? If so, explain.
- 4. Are you familiar with defendant, Geoff Haydon? If so, explain.
- 5. Are you familiar with defendant, Thomas Kenny? If so, explain.
- 6. Are you familiar with defendant, Todd Awtry? If so, explain.
- 7. Do you know plaintiff's attorneys, Nelson Thomas and Jonathan Ferris?
- 8. Do you know Mark Sullivan, Laura Lestrade, or Josh Kornfield, the attorneys for the defendants?
- 9. Do you know any of the non-party witnesses in this case?

#### B. Personal Background

- 1. Where do you live?
- 2. How long have you lived at your present address?
- 3. Where did you live before your present address?
- 4. What is your marital status?
- 5. Do you have any children? What are their ages?
- 6. What are your hobbies?
- 7. What TV channels do you watch?
- 8. What book have you read most recently?
- 9. Where do you get your news?

## C. Work Experiences

- 1. What kinds of employers have you worked for?
- 2. Do you consider them to be large or small companies?
- 3. Have you ever managed or supervised someone else?
- 4. If so, what was the hardest part of managing others or supervising others?
- 5. Do you get along with all of your co-workers?
- 6. What do you consider discrimination?
- 7. Have you ever been accused of discrimination?
  - a. If yes, tell me more.
  - b. If yes, did you believe that situation had the right result?
- 8. Have you ever accused someone of discrimination?
  - a. If yes, tell me more.
  - b. What was the outcome?
- 9. Have you ever witnessed what you thought was discrimination?
  - a. If yes, tell me more.
  - b. What was the outcome?
- 10. Has someone ever said to you that they felt they were being discriminated against?
  - a. If yes, tell me more.
- 11. Do you think that if someone is being discriminated against that they have to submit a "formal" complaint to human resources?
  - a. What about verbal discussions?
  - b. What about to a governmental investigator?
- 12. What do you think someone should do if they experience discrimination in their workplace?
- 13. What would you consider a complaint of discrimination?
- 14. What does the term "retaliation" in the employment context mean to you?
- 15. Does anyone here feel they have experienced any kind of discrimination or retaliation?
  - a. If yes, tell me more.

- b. Did it have anything to do with a work situation?
- a. Did it affect your salary?
- b. Did it affect your ability to progress in your job?
- c. Were you able to do anything about it?
- 16. How do you think companies should pay male and female employees who perform the same work?
- 17. When would it be acceptable to treat women differently than men in the workplace based on gender?
- 18. What do you think an employer should do if an employee brings up a claim of discrimination in the workplace?
- 19. Do you agree with the phrase, "Whatever women do they must do twice as well as men to be thought half as good?"
- 20. Do you believe most female workers are an asset for their company?
- 21. What are your thoughts on the "me too" movement?

## D. Prior Jury Duty

- 1. Have you ever been called for jury duty?
- 2. When and where was that?
- 3. Did you hear the case and deliberate on a verdict?
- 4. What kind of case was it?
- 5. Did anything happen in that case that would affect you in deciding this case?
- 6. Will you follow the law the judge gives you and base your verdict on the law and the evidence you hear in this case?
- 7. Are you acquainted with or know any other persons called for jury duty in this case? If so, please state who they are and how you are acquainted with them.

#### E. <u>Court</u>

- 1. Have you ever been a party or witness to a lawsuit?
- 2. What were the circumstances of the case?

## F. <u>Catchall</u>

1. Is there anything in your background you think we should know, but have not asked, that could affect your ability to be a fair and impartial juror in this case?

Dated: March 3, 2023

#### **THOMAS & SOLOMON LLP**

By: s/ Jonathan W. Ferris

J. Nelson Thomas, Esq.
Jonathan W. Ferris, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
693 East Avenue
Rochester, New York 14607
Telephone: (585) 272-0540
nthomas@theemploymentattorneys.com
jferris@theemploymentattorneys.com